

Appl. No. : 10/712,795
Filed : November 13, 2003

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Identification of Claims Discussed

Independent claims 109, 142 and 197 were discussed.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants' representatives discussed with the Examiner potential amendments that would put the claims in condition for allowance.

Results of Interview

It was agreed that amending claim 109 to state that "said mismatches are within the nucleotide sequence of 3249-3268 of SEQ ID NO: 3" would overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Additionally, it was agreed that amending claim 142 to replace "a" with "the" in the phrase "a sequence of nucleobases as set forth in SEQ ID NO:247" would overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as well as the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). Finally, it was agreed that the provisional double patenting rejection would be withdrawn if the claims were found to be otherwise allowable.